

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This is in response to the Office Action mailed August 23, 2005. In the Office Action, claims 11-32, 60, 61 and 66-84 were cited as being subject to a restriction requirement. In the Office Action, claims 11-32, 60, 61, 66-75 and 81-84 were restricted to Group 1 and claims 76-80 were restricted to Group 2. Applicant hereby elects Group 1, namely claims 11-32, 60, 61, 66-75 and 81-84. Accordingly, claims 76-80 in Group 2 have been withdrawn and, once the claims in Group 1 are in condition for allowance, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to reconsider the allowability of claims 76-80.

Conclusion

Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to contact the undersigned attorney to discuss the allowability of the subject application in the event that the Examiner believes that the claims are not in condition for allowance. Such discussion would likely facilitate prosecution of the subject application. The undersigned attorney can be reached at the phone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: 10/21/2005

By


William W. Schaal

Reg. No. 39,018

Tel.: (714) 557-3800 (Pacific Coast)

12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, California 90025

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.84)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

MAILING

FACSIMILE

☒ deposited with the United States Postal Service
as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450,
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

☐ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and
Trademark Office.

Date: 10/21/2005


Susan McFarlane

10/21/2005

Date